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We prove a theorem on the optimal recovery of powers of a normal operator. To illustrate the result, we prove assertion concerning the optimal recovery of the temperature of a body in the difference model of the heat equation and the optimal recovery of a solution in the difference model of a system of ordinary differential equations. Bibliography: 6 titles.

## 1 The Main Result

Let $T: \mathbb{C}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}$ be a linear operator. We assume that $x \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$ and $T^{n} x$ (where $T^{n}$ denotes the $n$th power of an operator $T$ ) are known approximately, i.e., we know vectors $y_{0}, y_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$ such that $\left\|x-y_{0}\right\| \leqslant \delta_{0}$ and $\left\|T^{n} x-y_{n}\right\| \leqslant \delta_{n}$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm and $\delta_{0}, \delta_{n}>0$. Based on this information, we wish to recover (in the best way if possible) the values $T^{k} x, 0<k<n$. By a recover method we mean any mapping $\varphi: \mathbb{C}^{d} \times \mathbb{C}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}$. The error of a method $\varphi$ is defined by the formula

$$
e\left(T^{k}, T^{n}, \delta_{0}, \delta_{n}, \varphi\right)=\sup \left\|T^{k} x-\varphi\left(y_{0}, y_{n}\right)\right\|,
$$

where the supremum is taken over all $x, y_{0}, y_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$ such that $\left\|x-y_{0}\right\| \leqslant \delta_{0}$ and $\left\|T^{n} x-y_{n}\right\| \leqslant \delta_{n}$. We are interested in the optimal recovery error defined by

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(T^{k}, T^{n}, \delta_{0}, \delta_{n}\right)=\inf _{\varphi: \mathbb{C}^{d} \times \mathbb{C}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}} e\left(T^{k}, T^{n}, \delta_{0}, \delta_{n}, \varphi\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

A method on which the infimum is attained is called an optimal recovery method.
Let $T: \mathbb{C}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}$ be a nonzero normal operator, i.e., $T T^{*}=T^{*} T$. Then there exists an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of the operator $T$. Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}$ be the corresponding eigenvalues. Suppose that their moduli are arranged in ascending order:

$$
\left|\lambda_{1}\right|=\ldots=\left|\lambda_{s_{1}}\right|<\ldots<\left|\lambda_{s_{r-1}+1}\right|=\ldots=\left|\lambda_{s_{r}}\right| .
$$

The common value of the moduli of eigenvalues in the $j$ th group is denoted by $\mu_{j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$. We divide the half-line $(0, \infty)$ into intervals

$$
\Delta_{0}=\left(0, \mu_{1}^{n}\right], \quad \Delta_{1}=\left(\mu_{1}^{n}, \mu_{2}^{n}\right], \ldots, \quad \Delta_{r-1}=\left(\mu_{r-1}^{n}, \mu_{r}^{n}\right], \quad \Delta_{r}=\left(\mu_{r}^{n}, \infty\right)
$$

where the half-interval $\Delta_{0}$ is absent if $\mu_{1}=0$. With each $\Delta_{j}$ we associate a pair of numbers $u_{j}$, $v_{j}, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant r\left(1 \leqslant j \leqslant r\right.$ if $\left.\mu_{1}=0\right)$ by the rule

$$
u_{0}=0, \quad u_{j}=\frac{\mu_{j}^{2 k} \mu_{j+1}^{2 n}-\mu_{j}^{2 n} \mu_{j+1}^{2 k}}{\mu_{j+1}^{2 n}-\mu_{j}^{2 n}}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r-1, \quad u_{r}=\mu_{r}^{2 k}
$$

and

$$
v_{0}=\mu_{1}^{-2(n-k)}, \quad v_{j}=\frac{\mu_{j+1}^{2 k}-\mu_{j}^{2 k}}{\mu_{j+1}^{2 n}-\mu_{j}^{2 n}}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r-1, \quad v_{r}=0
$$

Theorem 1. Let $T: \mathbb{C}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}$ be a nonzero normal operator, and let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}$ be eigenvalues of $T$ in the orthonormal basis of the eigenvectors of $T$. If $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{j}, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant r$, then

$$
E\left(T^{k}, T^{n}, \delta_{0}, \delta_{n}\right)=\sqrt{\delta_{0}^{2} u_{j}+\delta_{n}^{2} v_{j}},
$$

and for any $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\theta| \leqslant 1$ and any linear operator $B: \mathbb{C}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}$ with the same basis of its eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues

$$
\beta_{i}=\frac{v_{j} \bar{\lambda}_{i}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{k}}{u_{j}+\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 n} v_{j}}+\theta \frac{\sqrt{u_{j} v_{j}}}{u_{j}+\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 n} v_{j}} \sqrt{-\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 k}+u_{j}+\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 n} v_{j}}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d
$$

the linear operator $\hat{\varphi}: \mathbb{C}^{d} \times \mathbb{C}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}$ acting by the rule

$$
\widehat{\varphi}(\xi, \eta)=\left(T^{k}-B T^{n}\right) \xi+B \eta
$$

is an optimal recovery method.
We begin with particular cases of this theorem.
Suppose that $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{0}$. Then $u_{0}=0$ and thereby $\beta_{i}=\lambda_{i}^{-(n-k)}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$, i.e., $B=T^{-(n-k)}$. Consequently, the action of the optimal method $\widehat{\varphi}$ is as follows:

$$
\widehat{\varphi}(\xi, \eta)=T^{k}\left(T^{-n} \eta\right)
$$

The method uses only the measurement of $\eta$, namely, $x$ is found from the equality $T^{n} x=\eta$ and then the $k$ th power of $T$ is taken.

Let $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{r}$. Then $v_{0}=0$ and, consequently, $\beta_{i}=0,1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$, i.e., $B$ is the zero operator. In this case, the method uses only the measurement of $\xi$ :

$$
\widehat{\varphi}(\xi, \eta)=T^{k} \xi .
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. We estimate from below the optimal recovery error $E\left(T^{k}, T^{n}, \delta_{0}, \delta_{n}\right)$. We show that it is not less than the value of the following problem (i.e., the upper bound of the maximized functional)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{k} x\right\| \rightarrow \max , \quad\|x\| \leqslant \delta_{0}, \quad\left\|T^{n} x\right\| \leqslant \delta_{n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}^{d} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let $x_{0}$ be an admissible vector in (2). Then it is obvious that the vector $-x_{0}$ is also admissible and for any $\varphi: \mathbb{C}^{d} \times \mathbb{C}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left\|T^{k} x_{0}\right\|= & \left\|T^{k} x_{0}-\varphi(0,0)-\left(T^{k}\left(-x_{0}\right)-\varphi(0,0)\right)\right\| \leqslant\left\|T^{k} x_{0}-\varphi(0,0)\right\|+\left\|T^{k}\left(-x_{0}\right)-\varphi(0,0)\right\| \\
\leqslant & \sup _{\substack{x \in \mathbb{C}^{d} \\
\|x\| \leqslant \delta_{0},\left\|T^{n} x\right\| \leqslant \delta_{n}}}^{\left\|T^{k} x-\varphi(0,0)\right\| \leqslant 2 \sup _{\substack{x, y_{0}, y_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{d} \\
\left\|x-y_{0}\right\| \leqslant \delta_{0},\left\|T^{n} x-y_{n}\right\| \leqslant \delta_{n}}}\left\|T^{k} x-\varphi\left(y_{0}, y_{n}\right)\right\| .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing in (2) to the upper bound over all admissible functions on the left-hand side and to the lower bound over all methods $\varphi$ on the right-hand side, we obtain the required assertion.

Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}$ be the orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^{d}$ consisting of eignevectors of the operator $T$, and let $x=x_{1} e_{1}+\ldots+x_{d} e_{d}$. Then the squared value of (2) is equal to the value of the following problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2 k}\left|x_{j}\right|^{2} \rightarrow \max , \quad \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left|x_{j}\right|^{2} \leqslant \delta_{0}^{2}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2 n}\left|x_{j}\right|^{2} \leqslant \delta_{n}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us estimate from below its value. We consider several cases separately.
Case 1: $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{0}$. We define $\widehat{x}=\left(\widehat{x}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{x}_{d}\right)$ by the rule $\widehat{x}_{1}=\delta_{n} / \mu_{1}^{n}$ and $\widehat{x}_{j}=0,2 \leqslant j \leqslant d$. Since $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \leqslant \mu_{1}^{n}$, we have $\widehat{x}_{1}^{2} \leqslant \delta_{0}^{2}$ and, consequently, $\widehat{x}$ is admissible in (3). Hence the value of the problem (3) is not less than

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2 k}\left|\widehat{x}_{j}\right|^{2}=\mu_{1}^{2 k} \frac{\delta_{n}^{2}}{\mu_{1}^{2 n}}=\delta_{n}^{2} \mu_{1}^{-(n-k)}=\delta_{0}^{2} u_{0}+\delta_{n}^{2} v_{0}
$$

Case 2: $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r-1$. Let $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ be such that $\left|\lambda_{k_{1}}\right|=\mu_{j}$, and let $\left|\lambda_{k_{2}}\right|=\mu_{j+1}$. We choose $\widehat{x}_{k_{1}}$ and $\widehat{x}_{k_{2}}$ from the equalities

$$
\widehat{x}_{k_{1}}^{2}+\widehat{x}_{k_{2}}^{2}=\delta_{0}^{2}, \quad \mu_{j}^{2 n} \widehat{x}_{k_{1}}^{2}+\mu_{j+1}^{2 n} \widehat{x}_{k_{2}}^{2}=\delta_{n}^{2},
$$

i.e.,

$$
\widehat{x}_{k_{1}}=\sqrt{\frac{\delta_{0}^{2} \mu_{j+1}^{2 n}-\delta_{n}^{2}}{\mu_{j+1}^{2 n}-\mu_{j}^{2 n}}}, \quad \widehat{x}_{k_{2}}=\sqrt{\frac{\delta_{n}^{2}-\delta_{0}^{2} \mu_{j}^{2 n}}{\mu_{j+1}^{2 n}-\mu_{j}^{2 n}}} .
$$

We set $\widehat{x}=\left(\widehat{x}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{x}_{d}\right)$, where $\widehat{x}_{k_{1}}$ and $\widehat{x}_{k_{2}}$ are as above, whereas the remaining components vanish. Then it is easy to see that $\widehat{x}$ is admissible in (3) and, consequently, the value of the problem (3) is not less than

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2 k}\left|\widehat{x}_{j}\right|^{2}=\mu_{j}^{2 k} \widehat{x}_{k_{1}}^{2}+\mu_{j+1}^{2 k} \widehat{x}_{k_{2}}^{2}=\mu_{j}^{2 k} \frac{\delta_{0}^{2} \mu_{j+1}^{2 n}-\delta_{n}^{2}}{\mu_{j+1}^{2 n}-\mu_{j}^{2 n}}+\mu_{j+1}^{2 k} \frac{\delta_{n}^{2}-\delta_{0}^{2} \mu_{j}^{2 n}}{\mu_{j+1}^{2 n}-\mu_{j}^{2 n}}=\delta_{0}^{2} u_{j}+\delta_{n}^{2} v_{j} .
$$

Case 3: $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{r}$. We set $\widehat{x}=\left(\widehat{x}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{x}_{d}\right)$, where $\widehat{x}_{d}=\delta_{0}$ and $\widehat{x}_{j}=0$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d-1$. Since $\mu_{r}^{2 n}\left|\widehat{x}_{d}\right|^{2}=\mu_{r}^{2 n} \delta_{0}^{2}<\delta_{n}^{2}$, the vector $\widehat{x}$ is admissible in the problem (3) and, consequently, the value of the problem (3) is not less than

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|^{2 k}\left|\widehat{x}_{j}\right|^{2}=\mu_{r}^{2 k} \delta_{0}^{2}=\delta_{0}^{2} u_{r}+\delta_{n}^{2} v_{r}
$$

Case 4. If $\mu_{1}=0$, then, repeating the above reasoning, we conclude that for $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{j}$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$, the value of the problem (3) is not less than $\delta_{0}^{2} u_{j}+\delta_{n}^{2} v_{j}$.

Therefore, the optimal recovery error is not less than the value of the problem (2). Hence for $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{j}, j=0,1, \ldots, r$, it is proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(T^{k}, T^{n}, \delta_{0}, \delta_{n}\right) \geqslant \sqrt{\delta_{0}^{2} u_{j}+\delta_{n}^{2} v_{j}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed by obtaining an upper estimate for $E\left(T^{k}, T^{n}, \delta_{0}, \delta_{n}\right)$ and constructing optimal recovery methods. We look for an optimal method among linear operators acting from $\mathbb{C}^{d} \times \mathbb{C}^{d}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{d}$, i.e., operators acting by the rule: $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto A \xi+B \eta$, where $A$ and $B$ are linear operators from $\mathbb{C}^{d}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{d}$. The optimality of such a method means that the error of this method, i.e., the value of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{k} x-A y_{0}-B y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \max , \quad\left\|x-y_{0}\right\| \leqslant \delta_{0}, \quad\left\|T^{n} x-y_{n}\right\| \leqslant \delta_{n}, \quad x, y_{0}, y_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{d} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equal to $E\left(T^{k}, T^{n}, \delta_{0}, \delta_{n}\right)$.
Denote $\xi=x-y_{0}$ and $\eta=T^{n} x-y_{n}$. Then this problem can be written in the form

$$
\left\|T^{k} x-A x-B T^{n} x+A \xi+B \eta\right\| \rightarrow \max , \quad\|\xi\| \leqslant \delta_{0}, \quad\|\eta\| \leqslant \delta_{n}, \quad x, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{d}
$$

We note that if $T^{k}-A-B T^{n}$ is a nonzero operator, then the value of this problem is infinite. Indeed, if there exists $x_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$ such that $T^{k} x_{0}-A x_{0}-B T^{n} x_{0} \neq 0$, then we can make the maximized functional as large as desired by the choice of a constant $C>0$ on admissible elements $C x_{0}, \xi=0$, and $\eta=0$.

Further, we assume that $A=T^{k}-B T^{n}$ and the eigenvectors $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}$ of the operator $T$ are simultaneously eigenvectors of the operator $B$. But, in this case, they are also eigenvectors of the operator $A$. If $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$, are the corresponding eigenvalues of the operators $A$ and $B$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}=\lambda_{i}^{k}-\beta_{i} \lambda_{i}^{n}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the above assumptions and the decompositions $\xi=\xi_{1} e_{1}+\ldots+\xi_{d} e_{d}$ and $\eta=\eta_{1} e_{1}+\ldots+\eta_{d} e_{d}$, we conclude that the squared value of the problem (5) is equal to the value of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|\xi_{i} \alpha_{i}+\eta_{i} \beta_{i}\right|^{2} \rightarrow \max , \quad \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{2} \leqslant \delta_{0}^{2}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|\eta_{i}\right|^{2} \leqslant \delta_{n}^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{j}$; moreover, $\mu_{1}>0$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r-1$ or $\mu_{1}=0$ and $2 \leqslant j \leqslant r-1$. Then $u_{j}$ and $v_{j}$ are positive. Let us estimate the terms under the sign of sum in the maximized functional (7) by the Cauchy-Bunyakovksy inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi_{i} \alpha_{i}+\eta_{i} \beta_{i}\right|^{2} \leqslant\left(\frac{\left|\alpha_{i}\right|^{2}}{u_{j}}+\frac{\left|\beta_{i}\right|^{2}}{v_{j}}\right)\left(\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{2} u_{j}+\left|\eta_{i}\right|^{2} v_{j}\right), \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (cf. (6))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\alpha_{i}\right|^{2}}{u_{j}}+\frac{\left|\beta_{i}\right|^{2}}{v_{j}}=\frac{\left|\lambda_{i}^{k}-\beta_{i} \lambda_{i}^{n}\right|^{2}}{u_{j}}+\frac{\left|\beta_{i}\right|^{2}}{v_{j}} \leqslant 1, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, adding the inequalities (8), we see that the value of the problem (7) does not exceed $\delta_{0}^{2} u_{j}+\delta_{n}^{2} v_{j}$; moreover it is equal to this expression in view of (4), and the method indicated in the theorem with the operator $B$, given by the data $\beta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, d$, is optimal.

We write the left-hand sides of the inequalities (9) the form

$$
\frac{\left|\lambda_{i}^{k}-\beta_{i} \lambda_{i}^{n}\right|^{2}}{u_{j}}+\frac{\left|\beta_{i}\right|^{2}}{v_{j}}=\frac{u_{j}+\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 n} v_{j}}{u_{j} v_{j}}\left|\beta_{i}-\frac{v_{j} \bar{\lambda}_{i}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{k}}{u_{j}+\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 n} v_{j}}\right|^{2}+\frac{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 k}}{u_{j}+\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 n} v_{j}} .
$$

The condition that these expressions do not exceed 1 is equivalent to the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{i}-\frac{v_{j} \bar{\lambda}_{i}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{k}}{u_{j}+\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 n} v_{j}}\right| \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{u_{j} v_{j}}}{u_{j}+\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 n} v_{j}} \sqrt{-\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 k}+u_{j}+\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 n} v_{j}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$, which, in turn, are equivalent to the expressions for $\beta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, d$, in the theorem (in the cases under consideration, where $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{j}$ and $j$ is such that $u_{j}$ and $v_{j}$ are simultaneously different from zero). Moreover, the expressions under the root sign in (10) are nonnegative. Indeed, the points $\left(\mu_{j}^{2 n}, \mu_{j}^{2 k}\right)$ and $\left(\mu_{j+1}^{2 n}, \mu_{j+1}^{2 k}\right)$ lie on the concave curve $y=x^{k / n}$, and the line $y=u_{j}+v_{j} x$ passes through these points. Hence for all $\mu_{i}$ we have $\mu_{i}^{2 k} \leqslant u_{j}+v_{j} \mu_{i}^{2 n}$ and, consequently, $-\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 k}+u_{j}+\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 n} v_{j} \geqslant 0$. In particular, from (10) it follows that there exist numbers $\beta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, d$, satisfying (9).

Thus, if $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{j}$ and $j$ are such that $u_{j}$ and $v_{j}$ are simultaneously different from zero, then the numbers $\beta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, d$ satisfying the assumptions of the theorem yield the required expression for the optimal recovery error and provide the optimality of the method indicated in the theorem.

We prove that, in the remaining cases, the expressions for $\beta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, d$, found from (10) possess the same property. Indeed, suppose that $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{0}$. Then $u_{0}=0$ and $v_{0}=\mu_{1}^{-2(n-k)}$ by definition. From (10) it follows that $\beta_{i}=\lambda_{i}^{-(n-k)}$ and, by (6), $\alpha_{i}=0$, $i=1, \ldots, d$, and we find (taking into account that $\mu_{1} \leqslant\left|\lambda_{i}\right|, i=1, \ldots, d$ ) the upper estimate for the value of the problem (7):

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|\xi_{i} \alpha_{i}+\eta_{i} \beta_{i}\right|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{-2(n-k)}\left|\eta_{i}\right|^{2} \leqslant \mu_{1}^{-2(n-k)} \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|\eta_{i}\right|^{2} \leqslant \delta_{n}^{2} v_{0}=\delta_{0}^{2} u_{0}+\delta_{n}^{2} v_{0}
$$

Hence the theorem holds if $\mu_{1}>0$ and $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{0}$.
Let $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{r}$. By definition, $u_{r}=\mu_{r}^{2 k}$ and $v_{r}=0$. From (10) we find that $\beta_{i}=0$ and because of (6) $\alpha_{i}=\lambda_{i}^{k}, i=1, \ldots, d$. Consequently (taking into account that $\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \leqslant \mu_{r}$, $i=1, \ldots, d)$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|\xi_{i} \alpha_{i}+\eta_{i} \beta_{i}\right|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2 k}\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{2} \leqslant \mu_{r}^{2 k} \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{2} \leqslant \delta_{0}^{2} u_{r}=\delta_{0}^{2} u_{r}+\delta_{n}^{2} v_{r},
$$

i.e., in this case, the assertions of the theorem are true.

## 2 Optimal Recovery of Temperature from Inaccurate Data

We consider the heat equation on a circle given by the implicit difference scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{s+1, j}-u_{s j}}{\tau}=\frac{u_{s+1, j+1}-2 u_{s+1, j}+u_{s+1, j-1}}{h^{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\tau$ and $h$ are positive numbers, $(s, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ is the group of residuals modulo $m \geqslant 1$ which will be realized as a collection of numbers $\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$ with the addition modulo $m, u_{s, j}$ is the temperature of a body at time $s \tau$ at the point $j h$.

We denote by $l_{2}^{m}$ the space of functions (vectors) $x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-1}\right)$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|x\|_{l_{2}^{m}}=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left|x_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

We assume that the temperature of the body was approximately measured at times 0 and $n \tau$, i.e., we are know approximately the vectors $u_{0}=\left(u_{0,0}, \ldots, u_{0, m-1}\right)$ and $u_{n}=\left(u_{n, 0}, \ldots, u_{n, m-1}\right)$ or, more exactly, $y_{0}=\left(y_{0,0}, \ldots, y_{0, m-1}\right)$ and $y_{n}=\left(y_{n, 0}, \ldots, y_{n, m-1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{q}-y_{q}\right\|_{l_{2}^{m}} \leqslant \delta_{q}, \quad q=0, n
$$

where $\delta_{q}>0, q=0, n$. It is required to recover $u_{k}=\left(u_{k, 0}, \ldots, u_{k, m-1}\right), 0<k<n$, on the basis of this information, i.e., to find the value of the temperature of the body at time $k \tau$. By a recovery method we mean any possible mapping $\varphi: l_{2}^{m} \times l_{2}^{m} \rightarrow l_{2}^{m}$. The error of a method $\varphi$ is defined by the formula

$$
e_{k n}\left(\delta_{0}, \delta_{n}, \varphi\right)=\sup \left\|u_{k}-\varphi\left(y_{0}, y_{n}\right)\right\|_{l_{2}^{m}}
$$

where the supremum is taken over $u_{0}, y_{0}, y_{n} \in l_{2}^{m}$ such that $\left\|u_{q}-y_{q}\right\|_{l_{2}^{m}} \leqslant \delta_{q}, q=0, n$. The optimal recovery error is defined by

$$
E_{k n}\left(\delta_{0}, \delta_{n}\right)=\inf _{\varphi: l_{2}^{m} \times l_{2}^{m} \rightarrow l_{2}^{m}} e_{k n}\left(\delta_{0}, \delta_{n}, \varphi\right) .
$$

A method at which the infimum is attained is said to be optimal.
On $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$, we can introduce the Fourier transform, i.e., the linear mapping sending $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ to itself and, given by the matrix

$$
F=\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\left(e^{-\frac{2 \pi i p}{m} j}\right)_{p, j=0}^{m-1} .
$$

It is easy to see that this matrix is unitary.
We apply the Fourier transform with respect to $j$ to both sides of Equation (11), taking into account that it sends the translation by $\pm 1$ to the multiplication by $\exp ( \pm 2 \pi i p / m)$. After simple transformations, we find that $F u_{s+1}=\Lambda F u_{s}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, where $\Lambda$ is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{p}=\left(1+\frac{4 \tau}{h^{2}} \sin ^{2} \frac{\pi p}{m}\right)^{-1}, \quad p=0,1, \ldots, m-1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the relations $F u_{s+1}=\Lambda F u_{s}$ it follows that

$$
u_{s+1}=T u_{s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_{+},
$$

where $T=F^{-1} \Lambda F$.
Thus, we obtain the above problem, and it is clear that

$$
E_{k n}\left(\delta_{0}, \delta_{n}\right)=E\left(T^{k}, T^{n}, \delta_{0}, \delta_{n}\right)
$$

We formulate the corresponding result which follows from Theorem 1. We set

$$
\mu_{j}=\left(1+\frac{4 \tau}{h^{2}} \sin ^{2} \frac{\pi}{m}(r-j)\right)^{-1}, \quad j=1, \ldots r,
$$

where $r=[m / 2]+1$, and define $\Delta_{j}, v_{j}, u_{j}, j=1, \ldots, r$ by the same formulas as in Theorem 1 . Then Theorem 1 implies the following assertion.

Theorem 2. If $\delta_{n} / \delta_{0} \in \Delta_{j}, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant r$, then

$$
E_{k n}\left(\delta_{0}, \delta_{n}\right)=\sqrt{\delta_{0}^{2} u_{j}+\delta_{n}^{2} v_{j}}
$$

and for any $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\theta| \leqslant 1$ and any diagonal matrix $B$ with diagonal entries

$$
\beta_{p}=\frac{v_{j} \lambda_{p}^{n+k}}{u_{j}+\lambda_{p}^{2 n} v_{j}}+\theta \frac{\sqrt{u_{j} v_{j}}}{u_{j}+\lambda_{p}^{2 n} v_{j}} \sqrt{-\lambda_{p}^{2 k}+u_{j}+\lambda_{p}^{2 n} v_{j}}, \quad p=0,1 \ldots m-1,
$$

the method

$$
\widehat{\varphi}\left(y_{0}, y_{n}\right)=\left(T^{k}-T^{n} \widetilde{B}\right) y_{0}+\widetilde{B} y_{n}
$$

where $\widetilde{B}=F^{-1} B F$, is optimal.
Similar problems for continuous models were considered in [1]-[5]. A discrete model in the nonperiodic case was studied in [4].

## 3 Discrete Analog of a System of Linear Differential Equations

We consider the discrete model of displacements of a $d$-dimensional vector

$$
\frac{x_{s+1}-x_{s}}{\tau}=A x_{s}, \quad s=0,1, \ldots
$$

where $x_{s} \in \mathbb{C}^{d}, \tau>0$, and $A$ is a square matrix of order $d$ with constant entries.
We assume that we know vectors $y_{0}, y_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$ such that $\left\|x_{0}-y_{0}\right\| \leqslant \delta_{0}$ and $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \leqslant \delta_{n}$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm and $\delta_{0}, \delta_{n}>0$. Possessing on this information, we wish to recover the values $x_{k}, 0<k<n$. By a recover method we mean any mapping $\varphi$ : $\mathbb{C}^{d} \times \mathbb{C}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}$, and the error of a method $\varphi$ is defined by the formula

$$
e\left(k, n, \delta_{0}, \delta_{n}, \varphi\right)=\sup \left\|x_{k}-\varphi\left(y_{0}, y_{n}\right)\right\|,
$$

where the supremum is taken over $x_{0}, y_{0}, y_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$ such that $\left\|x_{0}-y_{0}\right\| \leqslant \delta_{0},\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \leqslant \delta_{n}$. We are interested in the quantity

$$
E\left(k, n, \delta_{0}, \delta_{n}\right)=\inf _{\varphi: \mathbb{C}^{d} \times \mathbb{C}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d}} e\left(k, n, \delta_{0}, \delta_{n}, \varphi\right)
$$

By the equalities $x_{s}=T^{s} x_{0}$ and $T=E+\tau A$, the problem is reduced to the problem (1). We assume that $A$ is a normal matrix with eigenvalues $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{d}$. Then $T$ is also a normal matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}=1+\tau \mu_{j}, j=1, \ldots, d$. Applying Theorem 1 , we obtain a solution to the problem under consideration in this case.

An analog of this problem for a continuous model was considered in [6].
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