

# EXACT $n$ -WIDTHS OF HARDY–SOBOLEV CLASSES

K. YU. OSIPENKO

ABSTRACT. Let  $\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r$  and  $\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$  denote those  $2\pi$ -periodic, real-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  that are analytic in the strip  $|\operatorname{Im} z| < \beta$  and satisfy the restrictions  $|\operatorname{Re} f^{(r)}(z)| \leq 1$  and  $|f^{(r)}(z)| \leq 1$ , respectively. We determine the Kolmogorov, linear, and Gel'fand widths of  $\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r$  in  $L_q[0, 2\pi]$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ , and  $\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$  in  $L_\infty[0, 2\pi]$ .

## INTRODUCTION

The Kolmogorov  $n$ -width of a subset  $A$  of a normed linear space  $X$  is defined by

$$d_n(A, X) := \inf_{X_n} \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in X_n} \|x - y\|,$$

where  $X_n$  runs over all  $n$ -dimensional subspaces of  $X$ . The linear  $n$ -width of  $A$  in  $X$  is defined by

$$\lambda_n(A, X) := \inf_{P_n} \sup_{x \in A} \|x - P_n x\|,$$

where  $P_n$  varies over all bounded linear operators mapping  $X$  into itself whose range has dimension  $n$  or less. The Gel'fand  $n$ -width is given by

$$d^n(A, X) := \inf_{X^n} \sup_{x \in A \cap X^n} \|x\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all subspaces  $X^n$  of  $X$  of codimension  $n$  (here we assume that  $0 \in A$ ).

Set  $S_\beta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im} z| < \beta\}$ . For integer  $r \geq 0$  denote by  $\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r$  ( $\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$ ) the set of  $2\pi$ -periodic functions, real-valued on  $\mathbb{R}$  and analytic in the strip  $S_\beta$  which satisfy the conditions  $|\operatorname{Re} f^{(r)}(z)| \leq 1$  ( $|f^{(r)}(z)| \leq 1$ ). For  $r = 0$  we shall omit the upper index in the notation of these classes. In this paper we determine the exact values of the Kolmogorov, linear, and Gel'fand widths of  $\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r$  in  $L_q := L_q[0, 2\pi]$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ , and  $\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$  in  $L_\infty$ . We also show that the  $n$ -widths of the subset of analytic functions on  $[0, 2\pi)$  from the Sobolev class  $\widetilde{W}_\infty^r$  are the same as for  $\tilde{W}_\infty^r$ .

For  $q = \infty$  the  $n$ -widths of the class  $\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}$  were obtained by V. M. Tikhomirov [11]. For  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  the exact values of the even  $n$ -widths of the classes  $\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}$  and  $\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}$  were determined in [9] and [7].

---

*AMS Classification:* 41A46, 30D55

*Key words and phrases:* Hardy–Sobolev class,  $n$ -width, bounded analytic functions.

In the nonperiodic case for the functions which are analytic on the open unit disk, real-valued on  $(-1, 1)$ , and which satisfy the restriction  $|f^{(r)}(z)| \leq 1$ , the  $n$ -widths were obtained in [4]. The results concerning the  $n$ -widths of the classes of analytic functions of several variables whose  $r$ th radial derivative is bounded may be found in [3] and [8].

### 1. EXACT $n$ -WIDTHS OF $\tilde{h}_{\infty, \beta}^r$

If  $f \in \tilde{h}_{\infty, \beta}$ , then (see [1, p. 269])

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} K_\beta(z-t) \operatorname{Re} f(t+i\beta) dt,$$

where

$$K_\beta(z) = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos kz}{\cosh k\beta}.$$

Set

$$(f * g)(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z-t)g(t) dt,$$

$$D_r(t) := 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(kt - \pi r/2)}{k^r}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

Using the representation

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) dt + (D_r * f^{(r)})(z),$$

for  $r \geq 1$  we have

$$\tilde{h}_{\infty, \beta}^r = \{ a + G_{r, \beta} * h : \|h\|_\infty \leq 1, h \perp 1, a \in \mathbb{R} \},$$

where  $G_{r, \beta} := D_r * K_\beta$ .

We say that a real,  $2\pi$ -periodic, continuous function  $G$  satisfies Property B (cf. [10, p. 129]) if for every choice of  $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_m < 2\pi$  and each  $m$ , the subspace

$$X_m := \left\{ b + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j G(\cdot - t_j) : \sum_{j=1}^m b_j = 0 \right\}$$

is of dimension  $m$ , and for every  $f \in X_{2m+1}$ ,  $f \not\equiv 0$ , the number of cyclic sign changes  $S_c(f) \leq 2m$ .

Set

$$\Lambda_{2n} := \{ \xi : \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2n}), 0 \leq \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_{2n} < 2\pi \}.$$

For each  $\xi \in \Lambda_{2n}$  we define

$$h_\xi(t) := (-1)^j, \quad t \in [\xi_{j-1}, \xi_j], \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n+1,$$

where  $\xi_0 := 0$ ,  $\xi_{2n+1} := 2\pi$ . Denote by  $h_n(t)$  the function  $h_\xi$  for  $\xi_j = (j-1)\pi/n$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2n$ .

To calculate the exact  $n$ -widths of  $\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r$  we need the following theorem of A. Pinkus [10, p. 180, 182].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  satisfy Property B. Set*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\infty} := \{ a + G * h : \|h\|_{\infty} \leq 1, h \perp 1, a \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

Then:

(i)

$$d_{2n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\infty}, L_{\infty}) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\infty}, L_{\infty}) = d^{2n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\infty}, L_{\infty}) = \|G * h_n\|_{\infty};$$

(ii) for each  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$

$$d_{2n}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\infty}, L_q) = \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\infty}, L_q) = d^{2n}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\infty}, L_q) = \|G * h_n\|_q.$$

We say that a real,  $2\pi$ -periodic, continuous function  $\mathcal{K} \in NCVD$  (nondegenerate cyclic variation diminishing) if  $S_c(\mathcal{K} * f) \leq S_c(f)$  for all real,  $2\pi$ -periodic, piecewise continuous functions  $f$ , and

$$\dim \text{span} \{ \mathcal{K}(t_1 - \cdot), \dots, \mathcal{K}(t_n - \cdot) \} = n$$

for every choice of  $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n < 2\pi$  and all  $n$ . It is known (see [10, p. 128, 133]) that  $K_{\beta} \in NCVD$  and for each  $r \geq 2$ ,  $D_r$  satisfies Property B ( $D_1(x)$  also satisfies all conditions of Property B except that it is not continuous at  $x = 0$ ). Therefore,  $G_{r,\beta}$  satisfies Property B for every  $r \geq 1$ .

The Euler perfect splines are defined by

$$\varphi_{n,r}(t) := \frac{4}{\pi n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((2k+1)nt - \pi r/2)}{(2k+1)^{r+1}}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots$$

Several properties of this splines may be found, for example, in [6, p. 104]. In particular,

$$\varphi_{n,0} = h_n, \quad \varphi_{n,r} = D_r * h_n, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

Put

$$\varphi_{n,r}^{\beta}(t) := (K_{\beta} * \varphi_{n,r})(t) = \frac{4}{\pi n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((2k+1)nt - \pi r/2)}{(2k+1)^{r+1} \cosh((2k+1)n\beta)}.$$

It was proved in [9] that

$$(1) \quad \varphi_{n,0}^{\beta}(t) = (K_{\beta} * h_n)(t) = \frac{4}{\pi} \arctan \left( \sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{sn} \left( \frac{2n\Lambda}{\pi} t, \lambda \right) \right),$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind with modulus

$$(2) \quad \lambda = 4e^{-2\beta n} \left( \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-4\beta n k(k+1)}}{1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-4\beta n k^2}} \right)^2.$$

By analogy to the Euler perfect splines it can be shown that

$$K_{n,r}^{\beta} := \|\varphi_{n,r}^{\beta}\|_{\infty} = \frac{4}{\pi n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k(r+1)}}{(2k+1)^{r+1} \cosh((2k+1)n\beta)}.$$

For  $r \geq 1$ ,  $\varphi_{n,r}^\beta = G_{r,\beta} * h_n$ . Thus from Theorem 1 ( $r \geq 1$ ) and Theorems 4.8 and 4.9 of [10, p. 179, 180] ( $r = 0$ ) we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $r \geq 0$ . Then:*

(i)

$$d_{2n-1}(\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) = d^{2n-1}(\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) = K_{n,r}^\beta;$$

(ii) for each  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$

$$d_{2n}(\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_q) = \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_q) = d^{2n}(\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_q) = \|\varphi_{n,r}^\beta\|_q.$$

For integer  $r \geq 1$  denote by  $\widetilde{W}_\infty^r$  the class of real,  $2\pi$ -periodic functions whose  $(r-1)$ st derivative is absolutely continuous and whose  $r$ th derivative satisfies the condition  $|f^{(r)}(t)| \leq 1$ . Let  $\widetilde{A}_\infty^r$  be the set of functions from  $\widetilde{W}_\infty^r$  which are analytic on  $[0, 2\pi)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $r \geq 1$ . Then:*

(i)

$$(3) \quad d_{2n-1}(\widetilde{A}_\infty^r, L_\infty) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\widetilde{A}_\infty^r, L_\infty) = d^{2n-1}(\widetilde{A}_\infty^r, L_\infty) = \frac{K_r}{n^r},$$

where

$$K_r := \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k(r+1)}}{(2k+1)^{r+1}};$$

(ii) for each  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$

$$(4) \quad d_{2n}(\widetilde{A}_\infty^r, L_q) = \lambda_{2n}(\widetilde{A}_\infty^r, L_q) = d^{2n}(\widetilde{A}_\infty^r, L_q) = \|\varphi_{n,r}\|_q.$$

*Proof.* For the class  $\widetilde{W}_\infty^r$  the equations analogous to (3) and (4) are a well-known fact (the details and references may be found in [10] and [6]). Since  $\widetilde{A}_\infty^r \subset \widetilde{W}_\infty^r$  it is sufficient to prove the lower bound. For all  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\tilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r \subset \widetilde{A}_\infty^r$ . Therefore, the lower bound follows from Theorem 2 and the obvious equations

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} K_{n,r}^\beta = \frac{K_r}{n^r}, \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \|\varphi_{n,r}^\beta\|_q = \|\varphi_{n,r}\|_q.$$

The theorem is proved.  $\square$

## 2. EXACT $n$ -WIDTHS OF $\widetilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$

To calculate the exact  $n$ -widths of  $\widetilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$  we shall need some preliminary results.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a continuous, odd, and strictly increasing function defined on  $[-1, 1]$ . Put*

$$\Lambda_{2n}^\varphi := \{ \xi \in \Lambda_{2n} : \varphi(K_\beta * h_\xi) \perp 1 \}.$$

Then

$$\inf \{ \|a + D_r * \varphi(K_\beta * h_\xi)\|_\infty : a \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \Lambda_{2n}^\varphi \} = \|D_r * \varphi(K_\beta * h_n)\|_\infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in \Lambda_{2n}^\varphi$ . Set

$$f_\xi := D_r * \varphi(K_\beta * h_\xi), \quad f_n := D_r * \varphi(K_\beta * h_n).$$

Suppose that there exists an  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and a  $\xi \in \Lambda_{2n}^\varphi$  for which  $\|a + f_\xi\|_\infty < \|f_n\|_\infty$ . As  $f_n(t + \pi/n) = -f_n(t)$  there exist at least  $2n$  points  $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_{2n} < 2\pi$  such that

$$f_n(t_j) = \varepsilon(-1)^j \|f_n\|_\infty, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n,$$

where  $\varepsilon = 1$  or  $-1$ . Denote by  $Z(f)$  the number of distinct zeros of a function  $f$  on  $[0, 2\pi)$ . We have

$$Z(f_n(\cdot + \alpha) \pm a \pm f_\xi(\cdot)) \geq 2n$$

for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . By Rolle's Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} S_c(f_n^{(r)}(\cdot + \alpha) \pm f_\xi^{(r)}(\cdot)) &= S_c(\varphi((K_\beta * h_n)(\cdot + \alpha)) \pm \varphi((K_\beta * h_\xi)(\cdot))) \\ &\geq 2n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi$  is an odd and strictly increasing function,

$$S_c((K_\beta * h_n)(\cdot + \alpha) \pm (K_\beta * h_\xi)(\cdot)) \geq 2n.$$

From the fact that  $K_\beta \in NCVD$  it follows that

$$S_c(h_n(\cdot + \alpha) \pm h_\xi(\cdot)) \geq 2n.$$

Using Lemma 4.1 of [10, p. 170], we obtain the existence of an  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$  or  $-1$  for which

$$S_c(h_n(\cdot + \alpha) - \varepsilon h_\xi(\cdot)) \leq 2(n-1).$$

This contradiction proves the proposition.  $\square$

Set  $\varphi_0(z) := \tan \frac{\pi}{4} z$ ,

$$\Phi_{n,0}^\beta := \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_n), \quad \Phi_{n,r}^\beta := D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_n), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

In view of (1) and the representation (see [2, p. 266])

$$\operatorname{sn} \left( \frac{2n\Lambda}{\pi} t, \lambda \right) = \frac{\pi}{\lambda\Lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((2k+1)nt)}{\sinh((2k+1)2n\beta)}$$

we have

$$\Phi_{n,r}^\beta(t) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}\Lambda n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((2k+1)nt - \pi r/2)}{(2k+1)^r \sinh((2k+1)2n\beta)}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots$$

It also follows from (1) that

$$\Phi_{n,0}^\beta(t) = \sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{sn} \left( \frac{2n\Lambda}{\pi} t, \lambda \right).$$

Using the same arguments as for  $\varphi_{n,r}^\beta$ , we obtain

$$\|\Phi_{n,r}^\beta\|_\infty = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}\Lambda n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k(r+1)}}{(2k+1)^r \sinh((2k+1)2n\beta)}.$$

Put

$$t_{n,r}^{(j)} := \begin{cases} \frac{\pi(j-1)}{n}, & r = 2m, \\ \frac{\pi(j-1)}{n} + \frac{\pi}{2n}, & r = 2m+1, \end{cases} \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n.$$

It is easily seen that  $\Phi_{n,r}^\beta(t_{n,r}^{(j)}) = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2n$ .

**Proposition 5.** *For all  $t \in [0, 2\pi)$  and  $r \geq 0$*

$$\sup \left\{ |f(t)| : f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r, f(t_{n,r}^{(j)}) = 0, j = 1, \dots, 2n \right\} = |\Phi_{n,r}^\beta(t)|.$$

*Proof.* Suppose there exists a  $t^* \in [0, 2\pi)$  and a function  $f_0 \in \tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$  for which  $f_0(t_{n,r}^{(j)}) = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2n$ , and  $|f_0(t^*)| > |\Phi_{n,r}^\beta(t^*)|$ . Set

$$\rho := \Phi_{n,r}^\beta(t^*)/f_0(t^*), \quad F := \Phi_{n,r}^\beta - \rho f_0.$$

The function  $F$  has at least  $2n+1$  distinct zeros at the points  $t_{n,r}^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2n$ , and  $t^*$ . By Rolle's Theorem

$$F^{(r)}(t) = \sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{sn} \left( \frac{2n\Lambda}{\pi} t, \lambda \right) - \rho f_0^{(r)}(t)$$

has at least  $2n+1$  zeros on  $[0, 2\pi)$ .

Denote by  $H_\infty(\Delta_\beta)$  the set of functions which are analytic on the annulus

$$\Delta_\beta := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : e^{-\beta} < |z| < e^\beta \}$$

and which satisfy the condition  $|f(z)| \leq 1$ ,  $z \in \Delta_\beta$ . If  $f(z) \in \tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}$ , then  $f\left(\frac{1}{i} \log z\right) \in H_\infty(\Delta_\beta)$ . It is easy to check that the function

$$G(z) := \sqrt{\lambda} \operatorname{sn} \left( \frac{2n\Lambda}{\pi i} \log z, \lambda \right)$$

has exactly  $2n$  zeros on  $\Delta_\beta$ . Since on  $\partial\Delta_\beta$

$$\left| G(z) - F^{(r)}\left(\frac{1}{i} \log z\right) \right| = \left| \rho f_0^{(r)}\left(\frac{1}{i} \log z\right) \right| \leq |\rho| < 1 \equiv |G(z)|,$$

Rouche's Theorem implies that  $F^{(r)}(t)$  has  $2n$  or fewer zeros on  $[0, 2\pi)$ . We thus reach a contradiction, which proves the proposition.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** For all integers  $r \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) &= \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) = d^{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}\Lambda n^r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k(r+1)}}{(2k+1)^r \sinh((2k+1)2n\beta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind with modulus  $\lambda$  defined by (2).

*Proof.* The case  $r = 0$  follows from [7] where the equalities

$$d_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = d^{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = \|\Phi_{n,0}^\beta\|_q, \quad 1 \leq q \leq \infty,$$

were proved. So we shall assume that  $r \geq 1$ .

We shall first prove the lower bound for the Kolmogorov widths. Set

$$S^{2n} := \left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} : \sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} |x_k| = 2\pi \right\},$$

$$\tau_0(x) := 0, \quad \tau_j(x) := \sum_{k=1}^j |x_k|, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n+1.$$

For each  $x \in S^{2n}$  put

$$\begin{aligned} g_x(t) &:= \text{sign } x_j, \quad \tau_{j-1}(x) \leq t < \tau_j(x), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n+1, \\ f_x &:= D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * g_x). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $X_{2n}$  be any  $2n$ -dimensional subspace of  $L_q$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ , such that  $1 \in X_{2n}$ . Suppose that  $X_{2n} = \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_{2n}\}$  and  $f_1(t) \equiv 1$ . Let  $a_1(x), \dots, a_{2n}(x)$  be the coefficients of  $f_1, \dots, f_{2n}$ , respectively, in the best approximation to  $f_x$  from  $X_{2n}$ . The mapping

$$A(x) := (b(x), a_2(x), \dots, a_{2n}(x)),$$

where

$$b(x) := \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_0((K_\beta * g_x)(t)) dt,$$

is a continuous map of  $S^{2n}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . By Borsuk's Theorem there exists an  $x^* \in S^{2n}$  for which  $A(x^*) = 0$ . As the function  $\varphi_0(z) = \tan \frac{\pi}{4} z$  maps the strip  $|\text{Re } z| < 1$  conformally onto the open unit disk, for all  $x \in S^{2n}$ ,  $f_x \in \tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad \sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r} \inf_{g \in X_{2n}} \|f - g\|_q &\geq \sup_{x \in S^{2n}} \inf_{g \in X_{2n}} \|f_x - g\|_q \geq \|f_{x^*} - a_1(x^*)\|_q \\ &\geq \inf \{ \|a + D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_\xi)\|_q : a \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \Lambda_{2n}^{\varphi_0} \}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $1 \notin X_{2n}$ , then the left-hand side of (5) is equal to  $+\infty$ . Hence,

$$d_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_q) \geq \inf \{ \|a + D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_\xi)\|_q : a \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \Lambda_{2n}^{\varphi_0} \}.$$

By passing to the limit  $q \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$d_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) \geq \|\Phi_{n,r}^\beta\|_\infty.$$

Let us now prove the lower bound for the Gel'fand widths. Suppose that

$$X^{2n} := \{f \in L_\infty : \langle l_j, f \rangle = 0, j = 1, \dots, 2n, l_j \in L'_\infty\}.$$

If  $\langle l_j, 1 \rangle = 0, j = 1, \dots, 2n$ , then

$$\sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r \cap X^{2n}} \|f\|_\infty = \infty.$$

Assume that  $\langle l_1, 1 \rangle \neq 0$ . Set

$$L_j := l_j - \frac{\langle l_j, 1 \rangle}{\langle l_1, 1 \rangle} l_1, \quad j = 2, \dots, 2n.$$

For each  $x \in S^{2n}$  denote by  $A_1$  the mapping

$$A_1(x) := (b(x), \langle L_2, f_x \rangle, \dots, \langle L_{2n}, f_x \rangle).$$

Since  $A_1: S^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is an odd and continuous map, by Borsuk's Theorem there exists an  $x^*$  for which  $A_1(x^*) = 0$ . Then

$$f^* := f_{x^*} - \frac{\langle l_1, f_{x^*} \rangle}{\langle l_1, 1 \rangle} \in X^{2n}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r \cap X^{2n}} \|f\|_\infty &\geq \|f^*\|_\infty \\ &\geq \inf \{ \|a + D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_\xi)\|_\infty : a \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \Lambda_{2n}^{\varphi_0} \} \geq \|\Phi_{n,r}^\beta\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$d^{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r, L_\infty) \geq \|\Phi_{n,r}^\beta\|_\infty.$$

Now let us prove the upper bound for the linear widths. We shall use a modification of the proof for the nonperiodic case from [4]. Denote by  $E_p$  the set of functions  $2\pi$ -periodic and analytic on  $S_\beta$  which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{E_p} &:= \sup_{0 < \rho < 1} \left( \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Gamma |f(\rho z)|^p |dz| \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \|f\|_{E_\infty} &:= \sup_{z \in S_\beta} |f(z)| < \infty, \quad p = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma := [2\pi + i\beta, i\beta] \cup [-i\beta, 2\pi - i\beta]$ . If the  $2\pi$ -periodic functions  $\omega(z), \omega_1(z) \in C(\Gamma)$  then, using the mapping  $z = \frac{1}{i} \log w$ , it can be

proved (see [5]) that for all  $1 < p \leq \infty$

$$(6) \quad \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) \omega(z) dz \right| : \|f\|_{E_p} \leq 1, \int_{\Gamma} f(z) \omega_1(z) dz = 0 \right\} \\ = \inf \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} |\omega(z) - c\omega_1(z) - \chi(z)|^q |dz| \right)^{1/q} : c \in \mathbb{C}, \chi \in E_q \right\} \\ = \|\omega\|_{L_q(\Gamma)/E_{q,1}},$$

where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ ,  $E_{q,1} := E_{q|\Gamma} + \text{span}\{\omega_1\}$ , and  $E_{q|\Gamma}$  is the space of boundary values on  $\Gamma$  of functions from  $E_q$ .

By the same mapping  $z = \frac{1}{i} \log w$  and the Residue Theorem we obtain

$$(7) \quad f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z) e^{iz}}{e^{iz} - e^{it}} dz, \quad t \in S_{\beta},$$

for all  $f \in E_{\infty}$ . For  $x, t_1 \in [0, 2\pi)$  we define

$$K(z, x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{D_r(x-t)e^{iz}}{e^{iz} - e^{it}} dt, \quad V(z, x) := K(z, x) - K(z, t_1).$$

From (7) it follows that all functions  $2\pi$ -periodic and analytic on  $S_{\beta}$  whose  $r$ th derivative lies in  $E_{\infty}$  satisfy the following equation

$$(8) \quad f(x) - f(t_1) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} V(z, x) f^{(r)}(z) dz.$$

Set

$$\omega_1(z) := \begin{cases} 1, & z \in [2\pi + i\beta, i\beta], \\ 0, & z \in [-i\beta, 2\pi - i\beta]. \end{cases}$$

Then the condition  $f \perp 1$  can be written in the form

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) \omega_1(z) dz = 0.$$

For distinct points  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{2n} \in [0, 2\pi)$  put

$$D_q(x) := \inf_{c_2, \dots, c_{2n}} \left\| V(\cdot, x) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j V(\cdot, t_j) \right\|_{L_q(\Gamma)/E_{q,1}}.$$

Since  $L_q(\Gamma)/E_{q,1}$  is uniformly convex for  $1 < q < \infty$  there are continuous functions on  $[0, 2\pi]$ ,  $c_2(x), \dots, c_{2n}(x)$ , such that

$$D_q(x) = \left\| V(\cdot, x) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j(x) V(\cdot, t_j) \right\|_{L_q(\Gamma)/E_{q,1}}.$$

It follows from (6) and (8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} D_q(x) \\
&= \sup_{\|f\|_{E_p} \leq 1, f \perp 1} \left\| \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \left( V(z, \cdot) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j(\cdot) V(z, t_j) \right) f(z) dz \right\|_{\infty} \\
&\geq \sup_{\|f^{(r)}\|_{E_{\infty}} \leq 1} \left\| \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \left( V(z, \cdot) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j(\cdot) V(z, t_j) \right) f^{(r)}(z) dz \right\|_{\infty} \\
&\geq \sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r} \left\| f(\cdot) - f(t_1) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j(\cdot) (f(t_j) - f(t_1)) \right\|_{\infty} \geq \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_{\infty}).
\end{aligned}$$

The function  $D_q$  is continuous on  $[0, 2\pi]$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , and  $D_q \searrow D_1$  uniformly as  $q \searrow 1$ . Letting  $q$  decrease to 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_{\infty}) &\leq \sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} D_1(x) \\
&= \left\| \inf_{c_2, \dots, c_{2n}} \sup_{\|f^{(r)}\|_{E_{\infty}} \leq 1} \left| \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \left( V(z, \cdot) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j V(z, t_j) \right) f^{(r)}(z) dz \right| \right\|_{\infty} \\
&= \sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} \sigma(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(9) \quad \sigma(x) := \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} V(z, x) f^{(r)}(z) dz \right| : \|f^{(r)}\|_{E_{\infty}} \leq 1, \right. \\
\left. \int_{\Gamma} V(z, t_j) f^{(r)}(z) dz = 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, 2n \right\}.$$

Using the same methods as in [5], it can be shown that the solution of (9) is unique up to a factor  $e^{i\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . By (8) we have

$$\sigma(x) = \sup \left\{ |f(x) - f(t_1)| : \|f^{(r)}\|_{E_{\infty}} \leq 1, f(t_j) - f(t_1) = 0, \right. \\
\left. j = 2, \dots, 2n \right\}.$$

Therefore, if  $f^*(z)$  is a solution of (9), then  $\overline{f^*(\bar{z})}$  is also a solution of (9). Consequently, there exists an extremal function which is real on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus,

$$\sigma(x) = \inf_{c_2, \dots, c_{2n}} \sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r} \left| f(x) - f(t_1) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n} c_j (f(t_j) - f(t_1)) \right|.$$

Put

$$\sigma_1(x) := \inf_{c_1, \dots, c_{2n}} \sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r} \left| f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{2n} c_j f(t_j) \right|.$$

Obviously,  $\sigma_1(x) \leq \sigma(x)$ . On the other hand, if  $\sum_{j=1}^{2n} c_j \neq 1$ , then

$$\sup_{f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r} \left| f(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{2n} c_j f(t_j) \right| \geq \sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \left| c \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{2n} c_j \right) \right| = \infty.$$

Hence,  $\sigma_1(x) = \sigma(x)$ . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_{\infty}) &\leq \sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} \sigma_1(x) \\ &= \sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} \sup \left\{ |f(x)| : f \in \tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, f(t_j) = 0, j = 1, \dots, 2n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t_j = t_{n,r}^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2n$ , it follows from Proposition 5 that

$$\lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_{\infty}) \leq \|\Phi_{n,r}^{\beta}\|_{\infty}.$$

Since  $\lambda_{2n} \geq d_{2n}$  and  $\lambda_{2n} \geq d^{2n}$  we obtain

$$d_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_{\infty}) = \lambda_{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_{\infty}) = d^{2n}(\tilde{H}_{\infty, \beta}^r, L_{\infty}) = \|\Phi_{n,r}^{\beta}\|_{\infty}.$$

The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The research was supported in part by Grant 93-01-00237 from Russian Foundation of Fundamental Research and by Grant MP 1300 from the ISF&RG.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Akhiezer, N. I.: Lectures on the Theory of Approximation. Nauka, Moscow 1965
- [2] Akhiezer, N. I.: Elements of the Theory of Elliptic Functions. Nauka, Moscow 1970
- [3] Farkov, Yu. A.: The  $N$ -widths of Hardy-Sobolev spaces of several complex variables. J. Approx. Theory **75** (1993) 183-197
- [4] Fisher, S. D.: Envelopes, widths, and Landau problems for analytic functions. Constr. Approx. **5** (1989) 171-187
- [5] Khavinson, S. Ya.: Two Papers on Extremal Problems in Complex Analysis. Amer. Math. Soc. Translations, Ser. 2, No. 129 1985
- [6] Korneichuk, N. P.: Exact Constants in the Theory of Approximation. Nauka, Moscow 1987
- [7] Osipenko, K. Yu.: On  $n$ -widths, optimal quadrature formulas, and optimal recovery of functions analytic in a strip. Izv. Russian Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **58** (1994) 55-79
- [8] Osipenko, K. Yu.: On  $N$ -widths of holomorphic functions of several variables. J. Approx. Theory (to appear)
- [9] Osipenko, K. Yu.: Exact values of  $n$ -widths and optimal quadratures on classes of bounded analytic and harmonic functions. J. Approx. Theory (to appear)
- [10] Pinkus, A.:  $n$ -Widths in Approximation Theory. Berlin, Springer-Verlag 1985
- [11] Tikhomirov, V. M.: Diameters of sets in function spaces and the theory of best approximations. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **15** (1960) 81-120; English transl. in Russian Math. Surveys **15** (1960) 75-111